

Subregular subalgebras and invariant generalized complex structures on Lie groups

Evgeny Mayanskiy

January 3, 2017

Abstract

We introduce the notion of a subregular subalgebra, which we believe is useful for classification of subalgebras of Lie algebras. We use it to construct a non-regular invariant generalized complex structure on a Lie group. As an illustration of the study of invariant generalized complex structures, we compute them all for the real forms of G_2 .

1 Subregular subalgebras

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional complex Lie algebra, $k \geq 0$ an integer.

Definition 1.1. *A subalgebra $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is called subregular in codimension k if $\mathfrak{s}$ is normalized by a codimension k subalgebra of a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.*

If $k \geq 1$, then $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is called subregular strictly in codimension k if $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is subregular in codimension k , but is not subregular in codimension $k - 1$.

Note that any subalgebra $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is subregular (strictly) in codimension k for some $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, \text{rank}(\mathfrak{g})\}$. Regular subalgebras, as defined in [2], [4], are precisely those which are subregular in codimension 0.

This notion may be useful for an explicit classification of subalgebras of Lie algebras as in [10]. In this note, we demonstrate how it can be applied to construction of invariant generalized complex structures on Lie groups.

2 Invariant generalized complex structures

Invariant generalized complex structures on homogeneous spaces were studied in [11] and [1]. In particular, Alekseevsky, David and Milburn classified invariant generalized complex structures on Lie groups in terms of the so-called admissible pairs. We will review a part of their classification.

Throughout this section, G_0 denotes a finite-dimensional connected real Lie group, $\mathfrak{g}_0$ the (real) Lie algebra of G_0 , $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ its complexification and $\tau: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ the corresponding antiinvolution. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is semisimple and $\mathfrak{h}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$ is a Cartan subalgebra, then $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ denotes its complexification and $\Phi \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ the root system of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$.

Definition 2.1 (Alekseevsky-David [1], Milburn [11]). *A $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -admissible pair is a pair $(\mathfrak{s}, \omega)$, where $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a complex subalgebra and $\omega \in \bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{s}^*$ is a closed 2-form such that:*

- $\mathfrak{s} + \tau(\mathfrak{s}) = \mathfrak{g}$, and
- $\text{Im}(\omega|_{\mathfrak{g}_0 \cap \mathfrak{s}})$ is non-degenerate.

Theorem 2.2 (Alekseevsky-David [1], Milburn [11]). *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the invariant generalized complex structures on G_0 and the $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -admissible pairs $(\mathfrak{s}, \omega)$.*

The following notion was introduced by Alekseevsky and David [1].

Definition 2.3 (Alekseevsky-David [1]). *An invariant generalized complex structure on G_0 is called regular if the associated subalgebra $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is normalized by a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_0$.*

The following theorem strengthens [1], Theorem 15 and completes the classification of invariant generalized complex structures on finite-dimensional compact connected real semisimple Lie groups.

Theorem 2.4. *If G_0 is a finite-dimensional compact connected real semisimple Lie group, then any invariant generalized complex structure on G_0 is regular.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the complex subalgebra associated by Theorem 2.2 to an invariant generalized complex structure on G_0 . Let $N(\mathfrak{s}) \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be its normalizer.

By [9], Theorem 13, $N(\mathfrak{s}) \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$ generates a closed subgroup of G_0 . The same argument as in [1], Theorem 15, using [14], implies that $N(\mathfrak{s})$ is normalized by a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$, i.e.

$$N(\mathfrak{s}) = L \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R} \mathbb{C}X_\alpha,$$

where $R \subset \Phi$ is a closed subset, X_α , $\alpha \in \Phi$, are root vectors of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, and $L \subset \mathfrak{h}$ is the solution set of a system of equations of the form $\alpha - \beta = 0$, $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$.

Since τ is the conjugation with respect to a compact real form $\mathfrak{g}_0$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\tau(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}$,

$$\tau|_{\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{R})} = -\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{R})}, \quad \tau(\mathbb{C}X_\alpha) = \mathbb{C}X_{-\alpha},$$

where $\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{R})$ is the real span in $\mathfrak{h}$ of the coroots of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$ [6].

Since $N(\mathfrak{s}) + \tau(N(\mathfrak{s})) = \mathfrak{g}$, $L + \tau(L) = \mathfrak{h}$, which is possible only if $L = \mathfrak{h}$. Hence $\mathfrak{h}_0 \subset N(\mathfrak{s})$ normalizes $\mathfrak{s}$. $\square$

In general, not all invariant generalized complex structures on real semisimple Lie groups are regular. Let G_0 be a finite-dimensional connected real Lie group, $k \geq 0$ an integer.

Definition 2.5. *An invariant generalized complex structure $\mathcal{J}$ on G_0 is called subregular in codimension k if the associated subalgebra $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is normalized by a codimension k subalgebra of a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_0$.*

If $k \geq 1$, then $\mathcal{J}$ is called subregular strictly in codimension k if $\mathcal{J}$ is subregular in codimension k , but is not subregular in codimension $k - 1$.

We illustrate this notion with an example of a non-regular invariant generalized complex structure on $SO_0(2n - 1, 1)$, $n \geq 3$ even.

3 A non-regular invariant generalized complex structure on $SO_0(2n - 1, 1)$

Let $G_0 = SO_0(2n - 1, 1)$, $n \geq 3$. Then $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(2n - 1, 1)$ is a noncompact real form of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$. We interpret $\mathfrak{g}$ as the Lie algebra of $2n \times 2n$ skew symmetric complex matrices. Then

$$\tau: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \quad A \mapsto J \cdot \bar{A} \cdot J, \quad J = \text{diag}(\underbrace{1 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 1}_{2n-1} \ (-1) \),$$

is the conjugation with respect to $\mathfrak{g}_0$, where bar denotes the usual complex conjugation.

Let E_{ij} , $1 \leq i, j \leq 2n$, be a $2n \times 2n$ matrix with 1 in the $(i, j)^{\text{th}}$ place and 0 elsewhere. Following [6], define

$$H_k = \sqrt{-1} \cdot (E_{2k-1, 2k} - E_{2k, 2k-1}), \quad 1 \leq k \leq n,$$

$$G_{jk}^+ = E_{2j-1, 2k-1} - E_{2k-1, 2j-1} + E_{2j, 2k} - E_{2k, 2j} + \sqrt{-1} \cdot (E_{2j-1, 2k} - E_{2j, 2k-1} - E_{2k, 2j-1} + E_{2k-1, 2j}),$$

$$G_{kj}^+ = -\overline{G_{jk}^+}, \quad 1 \leq j < k \leq n,$$

$$G_{jk}^- = E_{2j-1, 2k-1} - E_{2k-1, 2j-1} - E_{2j, 2k} + E_{2k, 2j} + \sqrt{-1} \cdot (E_{2j-1, 2k} + E_{2j, 2k-1} - E_{2k, 2j-1} - E_{2k-1, 2j}),$$

$$G_{kj}^- = -\overline{G_{jk}^-}, \quad 1 \leq j < k \leq n.$$

Then $\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^n \mathbb{C}H_k$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\epsilon_k \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, be such that $\epsilon_k(H_j) = 1$ if $j = k$ and 0 otherwise. Then

$$\Phi = \{\epsilon_j - \epsilon_k \mid 1 \leq j \neq k \leq n\} \cup \{\pm(\epsilon_j + \epsilon_k) \mid 1 \leq j < k \leq n\}$$

is the root system of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. Let us choose the following root vectors:

$$X_{jk} = X_{\epsilon_j - \epsilon_k} = G_{jk}^+, \quad 1 \leq j \neq k \leq n,$$

$$Y_{jk} = X_{\epsilon_j + \epsilon_k} = G_{kj}^-, \quad 1 \leq j < k \leq n,$$

$$Z_{jk} = X_{-(\epsilon_j + \epsilon_k)} = G_{jk}^-, \quad 1 \leq j < k \leq n.$$

Note that

$$[Y_{jk}, Z_{jk}] = 4 \cdot (H_j + H_k), \quad [X_{jk}, X_{kj}] = 4 \cdot (H_j - H_k), \quad 1 \leq j < k \leq n.$$

Let $\mathfrak{h}_1 \subset \mathfrak{h}$ be a hyperplane cut out by the equation $\epsilon_{n-1} - \epsilon_n = 0$, $L \subsetneq \mathfrak{h}_1$ a vector subspace containing $H_{n-1} + H_n$, and $H \in \mathfrak{h}_1 \setminus L$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{s} &= L \oplus \mathbb{C}(H + X_{n-1, n}) \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq j < k \leq n \\ (j, k) \neq (n-1, n)}} \mathbb{C}X_{jk} \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \mathbb{C}Y_{jk} \oplus \mathbb{C}Z_{n-1, n} \\ &\subset \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq j \neq k \leq n} \mathbb{C}X_{jk} \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (\mathbb{C}Y_{jk} \oplus \mathbb{C}Z_{jk}). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1. *The subalgebra $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is subregular strictly in codimension 1.*

Proof. By construction, $\mathfrak{s}$ is normalized by a codimension 1 subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_1 \subset \mathfrak{h}$. At the same time, $\mathfrak{s}$ is not regular, because, for a suitable $l \in L$, $l + H + X_{n-1, n}$ lies in the radical of $\mathfrak{s}$ but its nilpotent component $X_{n-1, n}$ does not. $\square$

Note that $s + \tau(s) = \mathfrak{g}$ if and only if $L + \mathbb{C}H + \tau(L + \mathbb{C}H) = \mathfrak{h}$.

To illustrate the general idea, let us assume for simplicity that $H = H_1$, $L = \bigoplus_{k=2}^{n-2} \mathbb{C}H_k \oplus \mathbb{C}(H_{n-1} + H_n)$. Then

$$\mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0 = \{ \sqrt{-1} \cdot b_1 \cdot (H_1 + X_{n-1, n} - Z_{n-1, n}) + \sum_{j=2}^{n-2} \sqrt{-1} \cdot b_j \cdot H_j \mid b_j \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

is a real abelian Lie algebra of dimension $n-2$. If n is even, $\mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$ carries a symplectic form ω_0 , which may be any non-degenerate 2-form on the real vector space $\mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}(H_1 + X_{n-1, n} - Z_{n-1, n}) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=2}^{n-2} \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_j \cong \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$. One can extend $\sqrt{-1}\omega_0$ to a closed 2-form $\omega \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{s}^*$. Assume that ϵ_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, vanish on the root vectors of $\mathfrak{g}$. This proves

Theorem 3.2. Let $n \geq 4$ be even, $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ the complex subalgebra defined above, $H = H_1$, $L = \bigoplus_{k=2}^{n-2} \mathbb{C}H_k \oplus \mathbb{C}(H_{n-1} + H_n)$, and $\omega \in \bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{s}^*$ a closed 2-form such that

$$\omega|_{\mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0} = \sqrt{-1} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \epsilon_{2j-1} \wedge \epsilon_{2j}.$$

Then $(\mathfrak{s}, \omega)$ is a $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -admissible pair and defines a non-regular invariant generalized complex structure on $SO_0(2n-1, 1)$.

4 Invariant generalized complex structures on real forms of G_2

In this section, G_0 denotes a connected real Lie group whose Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is a real form of the complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of type G_2 , i.e. $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is either the compact real form G_2^c or the normal real form G_2^n of $\mathfrak{g} = G_2$. Let $\tau: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be the conjugation with respect to $\mathfrak{g}_0$.

Recall that G_2^n has 4 conjugacy classes of Cartan subalgebras $\mathfrak{l}$: the maximally noncompact, the maximally compact, the one with a single short real root and the one with a single long real root [13]. The conjugation $\tau_n: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ with respect to G_2^n acts on the root system of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{l} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$ as Id , $-\text{Id}$, a reflection through a short root and a reflection through a long root respectively.

Let $(\mathfrak{s}, \omega)$ be a $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -admissible pair corresponding to an invariant generalized complex structure on G_0 . The subalgebras of the complex simple Lie algebra of type G_2 were classified in [10]. We will use the notation of [4] and [10]. Since $\mathfrak{s} + \tau(\mathfrak{s}) = \mathfrak{g}$, $\dim(\mathfrak{s}) \geq \dim(G_2)/2 = 7$ and $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is regular.

Lemma 4.1. *The subalgebra $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is normalized by a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and is not isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. By [4], up to conjugacy either $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{g}$ or $\mathfrak{s} = A_2$ or $\mathfrak{s} \subset G_2[\beta]$ or $\mathfrak{s} \subset G_2[\alpha]$.

Suppose $\mathfrak{s} = A_2$. Since $\mathfrak{s}$ is semisimple, the 2-dimensional subalgebra $\mathfrak{s} \cap \tau(\mathfrak{s})$ contains semisimple and nilpotent components of its elements. Since $H^2(\mathfrak{s}, \mathbb{C}) = 0$, ω is exact. Thus, if $\mathfrak{s} \cap \tau(\mathfrak{s})$ is abelian, $\omega|_{\mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0} = 0$, a contradiction. Hence $\mathfrak{s} \cap \tau(\mathfrak{s})$ is not abelian, and so every element of $\mathfrak{s} \cap \tau(\mathfrak{s})$ is either semisimple or nilpotent. Then we can choose a basis x_0, x_1 of $\mathfrak{s} \cap \tau(\mathfrak{s})$ such that $[x_0, x_1] = 2 \cdot x_1$, where x_0 is semisimple and x_1 is nilpotent. Since $\tau(x_1) \in \mathbb{C}x_1$, we may assume that $\tau(x_0) = x_0$, $\tau(x_1) = x_1$.

The proof of the Jacobson-Morozov theorem in [3] goes through and provides $x_2 \in \mathfrak{s}$ such that x_0, x_1, x_2 span an $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ subalgebra of $\mathfrak{s}$. Since $\tau(x_2) = x_2$, we obtain a contradiction.

Suppose $\mathfrak{s} \subset G_2[\beta]$ or $\mathfrak{s} \subset G_2[\alpha]$. By [10], Table 1, $\mathfrak{s}$ either is solvable and contains a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ or is normalized by a Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ or is the subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{s}_3 = \mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}Y_\beta \oplus \mathbb{C}Y_{-\beta} \oplus \mathbb{C}Y_{2\alpha+\beta} \oplus \mathbb{C}Y_{3\alpha+\beta} \oplus \mathbb{C}Y_{3\alpha+2\beta},$$

where $\mathfrak{h}_1 \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a Cartan subalgebra, $\Phi = \{\pm\alpha, \pm\beta, \pm(\alpha+\beta), \pm(2\alpha+\beta), \pm(3\alpha+\beta), \pm(3\alpha+2\beta)\}$ is the root system of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}_1)$, Y_γ , $\gamma \in \Phi$, are root vectors.

Note that any Borel subalgebra $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ contains a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$ [15]. Let $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$.

If $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{b}$ contains a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, then $\mathfrak{h}_0$ is maximally compact and $\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{s} \neq 0$. This implies that either $\mathfrak{h}$ normalizes $\mathfrak{s}$ or $\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{s} \cap \tau(\mathfrak{s}) = 0$, a contradiction.

Suppose $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}_3$. Let $\mathfrak{b} \subset G_2[\alpha]$ be the Borel subalgebra of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}_1)$ containing Y_α and Y_β , $\mathfrak{n} = [\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}]$. Since $[[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}], \mathfrak{n}] \subset \mathfrak{s}$, we may write

$$\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}x_3 \oplus \mathbb{C}x_4 \oplus \mathbb{C}X_{2\alpha+\beta} \oplus \mathbb{C}X_{3\alpha+\beta} \oplus \mathbb{C}X_{3\alpha+2\beta},$$

$$x_3 = a_0 \cdot X_\alpha + a_1 \cdot X_{\alpha+\beta} + X_\beta, \quad x_4 = X_{-\beta} + b_0 \cdot X_\alpha + b_1 \cdot X_{\alpha+\beta},$$

where X_γ , $\gamma \in \Phi$, are root vectors of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, $\mathfrak{n}$ contains X_α and X_β .

We may assume that $\mathfrak{h}_1 = \mathbb{C}x_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}x_2$, where

$$x_1 = z_1 + X_\rho + \sum_{\gamma \succ \rho} u_\gamma \cdot X_\gamma, \quad x_2 = z_2 + \sum_{\gamma \succ \rho} v_\gamma \cdot X_\gamma, \quad z_1, z_2 \in \mathfrak{h}, \quad \rho(z_2) = 0,$$

for some $\rho \in \{\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta\}$.

Since $\mathfrak{s} \ni [x_2, x_3] = \alpha(z_2) \cdot a_0 \cdot X_\alpha + \beta(z_2) \cdot X_\beta + x_{23}$, $x_{23} \in [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}]$, and $(\alpha - \beta)(z_2) \neq 0$, $a_0 = 0$. If $\rho \neq \alpha$, then also

$$\mathfrak{s} \ni (\alpha + \beta)(z_2) \cdot a_1 \cdot X_{\alpha+\beta} + \beta(z_2) \cdot X_\beta,$$

and so $a_1 = 0$ in this case.

If $x_3 = X_\beta$, then $[x_3, x_4] = H_\beta + b_0 \cdot X_{\alpha+\beta}$. Hence $\rho \neq \alpha$, and so $[x_2, x_4] \in \mathfrak{s}$ implies that $b_1 = 0$. Then

$$\mathfrak{s} = \mathbb{C}x'_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}x'_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}x_4 \oplus \mathbb{C}X_\beta \oplus \mathbb{C}X_{2\alpha+\beta} \oplus \mathbb{C}X_{3\alpha+\beta} \oplus \mathbb{C}X_{3\alpha+2\beta},$$

where $x'_1 = z'_1 + u \cdot X_{\alpha+\beta}$, $x'_2 = z'_2 + v \cdot X_{\alpha+\beta}$, $z'_1, z'_2 \in \mathfrak{h}$. We may assume that $u = 1$, $v = 0$, and so $(\alpha + \beta)(z'_2) = 0$.

In this case, τ acts on the roots either as $-\text{Id}$ or as a reflection through β . Hence either z'_2 or X_β is contained in $\mathfrak{s} \cap \tau(\mathfrak{s}) = 0$, a contradiction.

Hence we may assume that x_3 is not proportional to a root vector, and so $\rho = \alpha$. In this case, $\mathfrak{s}$ contains $x'_1 = z_1 + X_\alpha + u \cdot X_{\alpha+\beta}$ and $x'_2 = z_2 + v \cdot X_{\alpha+\beta}$.

If $v \neq 0$, we may assume that $v = 1$ and $u = 0$. Since $[x'_1, x'_2] \in \mathfrak{s}$, $(\alpha + \beta)(z_1) = 0$.

Since $[x'_1, x_4] \in \mathfrak{s}$, $-\beta(z_1) \cdot X_{-\beta} + \alpha(z_1)b_0 \cdot X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{s}$, and so $b_1 = 0$.

Since $[x'_2, x_4] \in \mathfrak{s}$, $-\beta(z_2) \cdot X_{-\beta} - X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{s}$, and so $b_0 = 1/\beta(z_2)$.

Since $[x'_1, x_3] \in \mathfrak{s}$, $\beta(z_1) \cdot X_\beta - X_{\alpha+\beta} \in \mathfrak{s}$, and so $a_1 = -1/\beta(z_1)$. Hence

$$\mathfrak{s} = \mathbb{C}x'_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}x'_2 \oplus \mathbb{C}x'_3 \oplus \mathbb{C}x'_4 \oplus \mathbb{C}X_{2\alpha+\beta} \oplus \mathbb{C}X_{3\alpha+\beta} \oplus \mathbb{C}X_{3\alpha+2\beta},$$

where $x'_1 = r_1 \cdot H_{3\alpha+\beta} - r_2 \cdot X_{-\beta}$, $x'_2 = r_2 \cdot H_{3\alpha+2\beta} - r_1 \cdot X_\beta$, $x'_3 = X_{\alpha+\beta} + r_1 \cdot X_\beta$, $x'_4 = X_\alpha + r_2 \cdot X_{-\beta}$.

Since τ acts on the roots either as $-\text{Id}$ or as a reflection through β , $\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}X_\beta \oplus \mathbb{C}X_{-\beta}$ is spanned by x'_1 , x'_2 , $\tau(x'_1)$, $\tau(x'_2)$. We can choose the root vectors such that $\tau(X_\gamma) = \pm X_{\tau(\gamma)}$, $\gamma \in \Phi$.

If τ acts on the roots as $-\text{Id}$, then $\tau(x'_1) = -\overline{r_1} \cdot H_{3\alpha+\beta} \mp \overline{r_2} \cdot X_\beta$, $\tau(x'_2) = -\overline{r_2} \cdot H_{3\alpha+2\beta} \mp \overline{r_1} \cdot X_{-\beta}$. Hence $\mathbb{C}X_\beta \oplus \mathbb{C}X_{-\beta}$ is spanned by a single element $(r_2/r_1) \cdot X_{-\beta} \pm (\overline{r_2}/\overline{r_1}) \cdot X_\beta$, a contradiction.

If τ acts on the roots as a reflection through β , then $\tau(x'_1) = \pm \overline{r_1} \cdot H_{3\alpha+2\beta} + \overline{r_2} \cdot X_{-\beta}$, $\tau(x'_2) = \pm \overline{r_2} \cdot H_{3\alpha+\beta} + \overline{r_1} \cdot X_\beta$. Hence $\mathfrak{h}$ is spanned by a single element $(r_1/r_2) \cdot H_{3\alpha+\beta} \pm (\overline{r_1}/\overline{r_2}) \cdot H_{3\alpha+2\beta}$, a contradiction.

If $v = 0$, then $u = 0$. Since $[x'_2, x_4] \in \mathfrak{s}$, $X_{-\beta} - b_1 \cdot X_{\alpha+\beta} \in \mathfrak{s}$, and so $b_0 = b_1 = 0$. Since $\mathfrak{s} \cap \tau(\mathfrak{s}) = 0$ and $\alpha(z_2) = 0$, $\mathfrak{g}_0 = G_2^n$ and $\tau = \tau_n$ acts on the roots as a reflection through β . Hence $X_{-\beta} \in \mathfrak{s} \cap \tau(\mathfrak{s})$, a contradiction. □

Corollary 4.2. *The subalgebra $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is normalized by a maximally compact Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$. Moreover, either $\mathfrak{s} = L \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ or $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{b}$, where $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a Borel subalgebra of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, $L \subset \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{n} = [\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}]$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{h}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$ be a Cartan subalgebra normalizing $\mathfrak{s}$, $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, X_γ , $\gamma \in \Phi$, root vectors of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$.

By Lemma 4.1 and [10], Table 1, the subalgebra $\mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is one of the following:

$$L \oplus \mathfrak{n}, \quad \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}, \quad \mathbb{C}H_\alpha \oplus \mathbb{C}X_{-\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{n}, \quad \mathbb{C}H_\beta \oplus \mathbb{C}X_{-\beta} \oplus \mathfrak{n},$$

$$G_2[\beta] = \mathbb{C}X_{-\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{b}, \quad G_2[\alpha] = \mathbb{C}X_{-\beta} \oplus \mathfrak{b}, \quad \mathfrak{g},$$

where $L \subset \mathfrak{h}$, $\mathfrak{n} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Phi^+} \mathbb{C}X_\gamma$ and Φ is suitably ordered so that $\Phi^+ = \{\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta, 2\alpha + \beta, 3\alpha + \beta, 3\alpha + 2\beta\} \subset \Phi$ is the subset of positive roots.

By [1], Lemma 7, only two of these subalgebras can form a $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -admissible pair:

$$\mathfrak{s} = L \oplus \mathfrak{n} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{b}.$$

In both cases, τ acts on the roots as $-\text{Id}$. □

Let $\phi: G_0 \rightarrow G$ be the universal complexification [8], i.e. G is the connected complex simple Lie group of type G_2 , with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, $\ker(\phi)$ is the center of G_0 , and the differential of ϕ is the embedding $\mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}$.

Let $B \subset G$ be a fixed Borel subgroup, with Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ containing a maximally compact Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$. By [15], Theorem 5.4, $H_0 = B \cap G_0$ is connected and so is generated by $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0$.

Consider the flag manifold G/B parametrizing the Borel subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathcal{N}^*$ be the holomorphic homogeneous vector bundle over G/B corresponding to the isotropy representation $B \rightarrow GL(\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}([\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}], \mathbb{C}))$ coming from the adjoint action of B on $\mathfrak{b}$.

Let $\mathcal{B} \subset G/B$ be the union of the open orbits of G_0 . By [15], Theorem 4.5, $\mathcal{B}$ parametrizes the Borel subalgebras containing a maximally compact Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. If G_0 is compact, then $\mathcal{B} = G/B$. Otherwise, $\mathcal{B}$ consists of exactly three open orbits of G_0 on G/B , corresponding to the three Weyl chambers of G_2 contained in a Weyl chamber of $A_1 + \tilde{A}_1$, [15], Theorem 4.7.

Let

$$\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{B} \times GL(2, \mathbb{R})/GL(1, \mathbb{C}), \quad \Sigma = \mathcal{B} \times \Sigma_0, \quad \text{where } \Sigma_0 = \{\sigma \in \bigwedge^2 (\mathbb{C}^2)^* \mid \text{Im}(\sigma|_{\mathbb{R}^2}) \text{ is symplectic}\},$$

be the trivial bundles over $\mathcal{B}$ parametrizing the complex structures and certain extensions of symplectic structures on the fibers of $\mathcal{B} \times \mathfrak{h}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ respectively, $\mathfrak{h}_0$ identified with $\mathbb{R}^2$.

Remark 4.3. *As sets, $GL(2, \mathbb{R})/GL(1, \mathbb{C}) \cong \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im}(z) \neq 0\} \cong \Sigma_0$.*

Now we state the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.4. *Any invariant generalized complex structure on G_0 , a real Lie group of type G_2 and real dimension 14, is regular. The set of invariant generalized complex structures on G_0 is parametrized by the disjoint union*

$$\mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{S},$$

where $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{I} \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{N}^* \cong \mathcal{N}^*|_{\mathcal{B}} \times GL(2, \mathbb{R})/GL(1, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{S} = \Sigma \times_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{N}^* \cong \mathcal{N}^*|_{\mathcal{B}} \times \Sigma_0$.

Proof. We use the notation of Corollary 4.2.

Suppose $\mathfrak{s} = L \oplus \mathfrak{n}$, $\dim(L) = 1$. Then $\mathfrak{s} + \tau(\mathfrak{s}) = \mathfrak{g}$ if and only if $L \subset \mathfrak{h}$ is the holomorphic subspace of a complex structure on $\mathfrak{h}_0$. Since $\mathfrak{s} \cap \tau(\mathfrak{s}) = 0$, any closed 2-form $\omega \in \bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{s}^*$ gives a $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -admissible pair $(\mathfrak{s}, \omega)$.

The Chevalley-Eilenberg resolution gives $H^2(\mathfrak{s}, \mathbb{C}) = 0$. Hence $\omega = d\xi$ for a uniquely determined linear map $\xi: [\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Thus, the $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -admissible pairs $(\mathfrak{s}, \omega)$ with $\mathfrak{s} = L \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ are parametrized by the triples $(\mathfrak{b}, \xi, \lambda)$, where $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a Borel subalgebra containing a maximally compact Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_0$, $\xi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}([\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}], \mathbb{C})$ and $\lambda \in GL(2, \mathbb{R})/GL(1, \mathbb{C})$ is a complex structure on the real vector space $\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0 \cong \mathbb{R}^2$. Cf. [12].

Suppose $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{b}$. In this case, $H^2(\mathfrak{s}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C} \cdot \omega_0$, where $0 \neq \omega_0 \in \bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{h}^*$ is extended by zero to a 2-form on $\mathfrak{s}$. Since $\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h}_0$, a 2-form $\omega \in \bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{b}^*$ gives a $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -admissible pair $(\mathfrak{b}, \omega)$ if and only if $\omega = c \cdot \omega_0 + d\xi$ for a uniquely determined linear map $\xi: [\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where $\text{Im}(c \cdot \omega_0|_{\mathfrak{h}_0})$ is non-degenerate.

Thus, the $\mathfrak{g}_0$ -admissible pairs $(\mathfrak{s}, \omega)$ with $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{b}$ are parametrized by the triples $(\mathfrak{b}, \xi, \sigma)$, where $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a Borel subalgebra containing a maximally compact Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_0$, $\xi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}([\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}], \mathbb{C})$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_0$. $\square$

As we recalled above, $\mathcal{B}$ consists of one or three orbits of G_0 [15].

Corollary 4.5. *The set of invariant generalized complex structures on G_0 , up to conjugacy by G_0 , is parametrized by r copies of the disjoint union*

$$N_0^* \times GL(2, \mathbb{R})/GL(1, \mathbb{C}) \cup N_0^* \times \Sigma_0,$$

where $N_0^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}([\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}], \mathbb{C})/H_0$, $r = 1$ if G_0 is compact and 3 otherwise.

The following remark is an immediate consequence of Milburn's study of invariant generalized complex structures on homogeneous spaces [11].

Remark 4.6. *There is no $SO(2n+1)$ -invariant generalized complex structure on the $2n$ -dimensional sphere $S^{2n} = SO(2n+1)/SO(2n)$, $n \geq 2$, and no G_2 -invariant generalized complex structure on $S^6 = G_2^c/SU(3)$. The $SO(3)$ -invariant generalized complex structures on $S^2 = SO(3)/SO(2)$ are two biholomorphic complex structures $\mathbb{CP}^1$ and $\overline{\mathbb{CP}^1}$, and a family of invariant generalized complex structures with holomorphic subbundles of the form $L(\mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C}), \omega_c)$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$, $\text{Im}(c) \neq 0$, which are B -transforms of the symplectic structures (up to symplectomorphism) on S^2 . Notation is from [5], [11], $\omega_c \in \bigwedge^2 \mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C})^*$ is defined by*

$$\omega_c(X, Y) = \text{Trace}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & c & 0 \\ -c & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot [X, Y]\right)$$

for 3×3 skew symmetric complex matrices $X, Y \in \mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C})$. See also [7].

Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research, the Simons Foundation and Peking University for support, excellent working conditions and encouraging atmosphere.

References

- [1] D. V. Alekseevsky and L. David, *Invariant generalized complex structures on Lie groups*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society. Third Series **105** (2012), no. 4, 703–729.

- [2] N. G. Chebotarev, *A theorem of the theory of semi-simple Lie groups*, Matematicheskii Sbornik N.S. **11(53)** (1942), no. 3, 239–244.
- [3] D. H. Collingwood and W. M. McGovern, *Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Mathematics Series, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1993.
- [4] E. B. Dynkin, *Semisimple subalgebras of semisimple Lie algebras*, Matematicheskii Sbornik N.S. **30(72)** (1952), no. 2, 349–462, (Russian).
- [5] M. Gualtieri, *Generalized complex geometry*, Annals of Mathematics. Second Series **174** (2011), no. 1, 75–123.
- [6] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 80, Academic Press, Inc., New York–London, 1978.
- [7] N. Hitchin, *Generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds*, The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics **54** (2003), no. 3, 281–308.
- [8] G. Hochschild, *Complexification of real analytic groups*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **125** (1966), 406–413.
- [9] A. I. Malcev, *On the theory of the Lie groups in the large*, Recueil Mathématique (N.S.) **16(58)** (1945), 163–190.
- [10] E. Mayanskiy, *The subalgebras of G_2* , ArXiv preprint, 2016.
- [11] B. Milburn, *Generalized complex and Dirac structures on homogeneous spaces*, Differential Geometry and its Applications **29** (2011), no. 5, 615–641.
- [12] H. V. Pittie, *The Dolbeault-cohomology ring of a compact, even-dimensional Lie group*, Indian Academy of Sciences. Proceedings. Mathematical Sciences **98** (1988), no. 2-3, 117–152.
- [13] M. Sugiura, *Conjugate classes of Cartan subalgebras in real semi-simple Lie algebras*, Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan **11** (1959), 374–434.
- [14] H.-C. Wang, *Closed manifolds with homogeneous complex structure*, American Journal of Mathematics **76** (1954), 1–32.
- [15] J. A. Wolf, *The action of a real semisimple group on a complex flag manifold. I. Orbit structure and holomorphic arc components*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society **75** (1969), 1121–1237.